```
THOMAS P. O'BRIEN
 1
   United States Attorney
   CHRISTINE C. EWELL
   Assistant United States Attorney
                                                        JS - 6
   Chief, Criminal Division
   STEVEN R. WELK
   Assistant United States Attorney
4
   Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
5
   VICTOR A. RODGERS
   California Bar No. 101281
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Asset Forfeiture Section
7
        Federal Courthouse, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor
        312 North Spring Street
8
        Los Angeles, California 90012
        Telephone: (213) 894-2569
9
        Facsimile: (213) 894-7177
        E-mail: Victor.Rodgers@usdoj.gov
10
   Attorneys for Plaintiff
11
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
12
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
                  FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14
                             SOUTHERN DIVISION
15
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                     No. CV 08-7288-JVS(CWx)
16
            Plaintiff,
17
                                     CONSENT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE
                  v.
18
   APPROXIMATELY 364
19
   MISCELLANEOUS COMPUTER
   PARTS,
20
            Defendants.
21
22
23
        On or about November 3, 2008, plaintiff United States of
   America ("the United States of America") filed a Complaint for
25
   Forfeiture alleging that the defendant Approximately 364
26
   Miscellaneous Computer Parts (the "defendant computer parts") are
27
   subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2320(b), and
28
   identifying Lance Kaplan and Stone Network Solutions, Inc.
```

(sometimes referred to collectively herein as "Kaplan") as persons whose interests may be affected by this action.

No parties have appeared in this case, and the time for filing claims and answers has expired.

The United States of America and Kaplan have now agreed to settle this action and to avoid further litigation by entering into this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture.

The Court having been duly advised of and having considered the matter, and based upon the mutual consent of the parties hereto,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the parties to this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture.
- 2. The Complaint for Forfeiture states a claim for relief pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2320(b).
- 3. Notice of this action has been given as required by law.

 Kaplan is relieved of his obligation to file claims and answers in this action. No appearances have been made in this case by any person. The Court deems that all potential claimants, except for Kaplan, admit the allegations of the Complaint for Forfeiture to be true.
- 4. The defendant computer parts shall be condemned and forfeited to the United States of America. The United States Customs and Border Protection is ordered to dispose of the defendant computer parts in accordance with law.
- 5. Lance Kaplan and Stone Network Solutions, Inc., and each of them, hereby release the United States of America, its agencies, agents, officers, employees and representatives, including, without

limitation, all agents, officers, employees and representatives of the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, the United States Customs and Border Protection and the Department of Homeland Security and their respective agencies, as well as all agents, officers, employees and representatives of any state or local governmental or law enforcement agency involved in the investigation or prosecution of this matter, from any and all claims, actions, or liabilities arising out of or related to this action, including, without limitation, any claim for attorney fees, costs, and interest, which may be asserted by or on behalf of Lance Kaplan and Stone Network Solutions, Inc., or either of them, whether pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465 or otherwise.

- 6. The Court finds that there was reasonable cause for the seizure of the defendant computer parts and institution of these proceedings. This judgment shall be construed as a certificate of reasonable cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465.
- 7. The Court further finds that Kaplan did not substantially prevail in this action, and each of the parties hereto shall bear its own attorney fees and costs.

THE HONORABLE JAMES V.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: February 6, 2009

1 CONSENT 2 The parties hereto consent to the above consent judgment of 3 forfeiture and waive any right of appeal. 4 DATED: February 5, 2009 THOMAS P. O'BRIEN United States Attorney 5 CHRISTINE C. EWELL Assistant United States Attorney 6 Chief, Criminal Division STEVEN R. WELK 7 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section 8 9 /s/ Victor A. Rodgers VICTOR A. RODGERS 10 Assistant United States Attorney 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 12 13 DATED: February 3, 2009 STANLEY I. GREENBERG LAW OFFICES 14 By /s/ Stanley I. Greenberg 15 STANLEY I. GREENBERG 16 Attorneys for LANCE KAPLAN and STONE NETWORK 17 SOLUTIONS, INC. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28